

Singular Control with State Constraints

Amarjit Budhiraja

Department of Statistics & Operations Research
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill



with R. Atar and R.J. Williams

Outline.

1. **Problem Description.** State space, Controlled dynamics, Admissible controls, Cost criterion.
2. **Nonnegative Cost, Unbounded Domain.**
 - Setup.
 - HJB Equation: Variational inequality with gradient constraints. Constrained viscosity solutions.
 - Main Result: Unique solvability by the value function.
 - Sketch of Proof.
3. **General Cost, Bounded Domain.**
 - Setup.
 - HJB equation and the main uniqueness result.
 - Remarks on assumptions and the “no arbitrage” condition.
4. **Unresolved issues.**

Problem Description.

- **State Space:** \mathbb{X} Closed, convex subset of \mathbb{R}^d .
- **Uncontrolled Process:** $x + B_t$,

$x \in \mathbb{X}$, B a (b, Σ) Brownian motion. $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, Σ a $d \times d$ n.n.d. matrix.

- **Controlled Dynamics:** $X_t = x + B_t + GY_t$,

G is a $d \times p$ matrix, $d \leq p$.

- **Control:** Y - a p dimensional RCLL process, loc. b.v. paths, adapted (to some underlying filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$).

Problem Description Contd.

Admissible Control:

1. Y has increments in the closed convex cone \mathbb{Y} :

$$Y(0), Y(t) - Y(s) \in \mathbb{Y}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t < \infty.$$

(E.g. $\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{R}_+^p$.)

2. State Constraints:

$$X_t = x + B_t + GY_t \in \mathbb{X}, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, \text{ a.s.}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}(x)$ denote the family of all admissible controls.

General Description Contd.

Cost Criterion:

$$J(x, Y) = \mathbb{E} \int_{[0, \infty)} e^{-\beta s} (\ell(X_s) ds + h \cdot dY_s),$$

$\ell : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, h \in \mathbb{R}^p.$

Goal: Characterize the value function

$$V(x) = \inf_{Y \in \mathcal{A}(x)} J(x, Y)$$

as the (unique) solution of a suitable PDE.

- **Nonnegative Cost:** $\ell(x) \geq 0, h \cdot \mathbb{Y} \subset \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{X}$ is a cone.
- **General cost, bounded \mathbb{X} .**

Nonnegative Cost.

$\ell(x) \geq 0$, $h \cdot \mathbb{Y} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, \mathbb{X} is a cone.

Dynamic Programming Heuristic. Recall: $X_t = x + B_t + GY_t$.

One Candidate control: Apply no control for $0 \leq s \leq \delta$ and then control optimally.

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) &\leq \mathbb{E}_x \left(\int_0^\delta e^{-\beta s} \ell(X_s) ds + e^{-\beta \delta} V(X_\delta) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x \left(\int_0^\delta e^{-\beta s} \ell(X_s) ds + e^{-\beta \delta} V(x) - e^{-\beta \delta} \int_0^\delta \mathcal{L}V(X_s) ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{L} \doteq -(1/2)\text{Tr}(\Sigma D^2) - b \cdot D$. Dividing by $\delta \rightarrow 0$:

$$(\mathcal{L} + \beta)V(x) - \ell(x) \leq 0.$$

Equality holds if it is "optimal" to apply no control around x .

Dynamic Programming Heuristic (Contd.)

Another control: Jump by $\delta y \in \mathbb{Y}$ at time 0 & then go optimally.

$$V(x) \leq \delta h \cdot y + V(x + \delta Gy), \quad y \in \mathbb{Y}.$$

$$-DV(x) \cdot \frac{Gy}{\|Gy\|} - h \cdot \frac{y}{\|Gy\|} \leq 0.$$

Thus defining $\mathcal{H}(p) \doteq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{Y}: \|Gy\|=1} \{-(Gy \cdot p + y \cdot h)\}$:

$$\mathcal{H}(DV(x)) \leq 0.$$

Equality holds if it is optimal to apply control at x .

HJB equation.

Both $\mathcal{H}(DV(x))$ and $(\mathcal{L} + \beta)V(x) - \ell(x)$ are at most 0 and one of them is exactly 0.

$$\underbrace{((\mathcal{L} + \beta)\psi(x) - \ell(x)) \vee \mathcal{H}(D\psi(x))}_{F(x, \psi(x), D\psi(x), D^2\psi(x))} = 0$$

Boundary Condition: Fix $x \in \partial\mathbb{X}$.

Suppose First: $\mathcal{H}(DV(x)) = 0$.

Recall: $\mathcal{H}(DV(x)) \doteq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{Y}: |Gy|=1} \{-(Gy \cdot DV(x) + y \cdot h)\}$.

Suppose that the supremum is attained at y^* :

HJB (contd.)

$$0 = \mathcal{H}(DV(x)) = -(Gy^* \cdot DV(x) + y^* \cdot h).$$

Must have: $Gy^* \cdot n(x) \leq 0$ where $n(x)$ is the outward normal at x .

Let $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{X})$ be such that $V - \phi$ is minimized at x . Then:

$$D\phi(x) = DV(x) + \theta n(x),$$

for some $\theta \geq 0$. Thus:

$$\mathcal{H}(D\phi(x)) = \mathcal{H}(DV(x) + \theta n(x)) \geq -\theta Gy^* \cdot n(x) \geq 0.$$

So $F(x, V(x), D\phi(x), D^2\phi(x)) \geq 0$

– Can argue similarly if $\mathcal{H}(DV(x)) < 0$.

BC: V is a supersolution on \mathbb{X} . (Soner)

Viscosity Solutions (Lions, Crandall, Ishii, ...)

– In general no C^2 solutions- a weaker notion of a solution is needed.

Basic idea: Suppose u is a classical subsolution, i.e.,

$$u \text{ is } C^2 \text{ and } F(x, u(x), Du(x), D^2u(x)) \leq 0.$$

Then if ϕ is C^2 and $u - \phi$ has a maximum at x then

$$Du(x) = D\phi(x), \quad D^2u(x) \leq D^2\phi(x).$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, u(x), D\phi(x), D^2\phi(x)) &= F(x, u(x), Du(x), D^2\phi(x)) \\ &\leq F(x, u(x), Du(x), D^2u(x)) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus: $F(x, u(x), D\phi(x), D^2\phi(x)) \leq 0$.

Definition (Constrained Viscosity Solution).

$$F(x, \psi(x), D\psi(x), D^2\psi(x)) = 0. \quad (1)$$

(1) For $S \subset \mathbb{X}$, a function $\psi : \bar{S} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a **supersolution of (1) on S** if $\forall x \in S, \varphi \in C^2(S)$ s.t. $\psi - \varphi$ has a **global minimum** at x :

$$F(x, \psi(x), (D\varphi)(x), (D^2\varphi)(x)) \geq 0.$$

(2) For $S \subset \mathbb{X}$, a function $\psi : \bar{S} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a **subsolution of (1) on S** if $\forall x \in S, \varphi \in C^2(S)$ s.t. $\psi - \varphi$ has a **global maximum** at x :

$$F(x, \psi(x), (D\varphi)(x), (D^2\varphi)(x)) \leq 0.$$

(3) ψ is said to be a constrained viscosity solution of (1) on \mathbb{X} if it is a **subsolution of (1) on \mathbb{X}^o** and a **supersolution of (1) on \mathbb{X}** .

Some Amusing Examples.

$$\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{R}_+, G = 1, \beta = 1, h = 0$$

(a) Suppose $\ell(x) \equiv 1, b = 0, \Sigma = 1$

$$V(x) = \inf_Y J(x, Y) = \inf_Y \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} dt = 1.$$

$$F(\dots) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\psi''(x) + \psi(x) - 1\right) \vee (-D\psi(x)).$$

Then $\psi_c(x) \equiv c$ is a solution for every $c \in [0, 1]$.

Examples (Contd.).

$$\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{R}_+, G = 1, \beta = 1, h = 0$$

(b) Suppose $\ell(x) = x + 1, b = -1, \Sigma = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} V(x) &= \inf_Y \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} (X_t + 1) dt \\ &= \int_0^x e^{-t} (x - t + 1) dt + \int_x^\infty e^{-t} 1 dt = x + e^{-x}. \end{aligned}$$

$$F(\dots) = (\psi'(x) + \psi(x) - x - 1) \vee (-D\psi(x)).$$

V is a solution,

$\psi(x) = \max(x + ce^{-x}, C)$, $c \in [0, 1]$, $C \in (c, 1]$ is a solution as well.

- $\psi(x) = x$ solves $F(\dots) = 0$ on $[0, \infty)$ but doesn't satisfy the boundary condition: Subdifferential at 0 is the set $(-\infty, 1]$.

Standing Assumptions

- **Controllability:** $\mathbb{X} \cap G\mathbb{Y} \neq \emptyset$.
- **On the cones \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} :**
 - Union of \mathbb{X} and $G\mathbb{Y}$ is (strictly) contained in a half space:
 \exists unit vector $e_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $a_1 > 0$ s.t. $\forall x, y$ in \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}
 $x \cdot e_1 \geq a_1|x|$, $Gy \cdot e_1 \geq a_1|Gy|$.
 - \mathbb{Y} is (strictly) contained in a half space: \exists unit vector $e_2 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $a_2 > 0$ s.t. $\forall y \in \mathbb{Y}$, $y \cdot e_2 \geq a_2|y|$.

- **On the running cost ℓ :**
 - $\exists m_\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}$,

$$c_1|x|^{m_\ell} - c_2 \leq \ell(x) \leq c_3(|x|^{m_\ell} + 1).$$

- $\sup_{|x-y|<\delta} \frac{|\ell(x)-\ell(y)|}{1+|x|^{m_\ell}+|y|^{m_\ell}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

Main Result (*Ann. Prob.* (2006)).

Let $C_{\text{pol},+}(\mathbb{X})$ be the class of continuous nonnegative functions with (at most) polynomial growth.

$$C_{\text{pol},+}^c(\mathbb{X}) \doteq \{f \in C_{\text{pol},+}(\mathbb{X}) \mid f \text{ has compact sub-level sets}\}.$$

$$C_{b,+}(\mathbb{X}) \doteq \{f \in C_{\text{pol},+}(\mathbb{X}) \mid f \text{ is bounded}\}.$$

Theorem.

(1) Recall: $c_{\ell,1}|x|^{m_\ell} - c_{\ell,2} \leq \ell(x) \leq c_{\ell,3}(|x|^{m_\ell} + 1)$.

- If $m_\ell > 0$ then V is in $C_{\text{pol},+}^c(\mathbb{X})$.
- If $m_\ell = 0$ then V is in $C_{b,+}(\mathbb{X})$.

(2) **Solvability.** V is a constrained viscosity solution of (1) on \mathbb{X} .

Main Result (Contd.).

(3) **Uniqueness.** Let Assumption 1 hold.

Assumption 1. Either Σ is non-degenerate or $e_1 \cdot b > 0$.

- If $m_\ell > 0$, V is the only such solution in the class $C_{\text{pol},+}^c(\mathbb{X})$.
- If $m_\ell = 0$, V is the maximal solution in the class $C_{\text{pol},+}(\mathbb{X})$.

Suppose, in addition, Assumption 2 holds.

Assumption 2. For some $c_h > 0$, $h \cdot y \geq c_h |Gy|$, $y \in \mathbb{Y}$.

Then uniqueness holds in $C_{\text{pol},+}(\mathbb{X})$, $m_\ell \geq 0$.

Remark.

One can construct (one dimensional) examples to show:

- If Assumption 1 is dropped, in the case $m_\ell > 0$: Multiple solutions may exist (even) in $C_{\text{pol},+}^c(\mathbb{X})$.
- If Assumption 2 is dropped:
 - In the case $m_\ell > 0$: Uniqueness in $C_{\text{pol},+}^c(\mathbb{X})$ but multiple solutions may exist in $C_{\text{pol},+}(\mathbb{X})$.
 - In the case $m_\ell = 0$: multiple solutions may exist in $C_{b,+}(\mathbb{X})$ (value function is the maximal one).

Sketch for Uniqueness.

For $r > 0$, denote

$$\mathbb{X}_r \doteq \{x \in \mathbb{X} : x \cdot e_1 < r\}, \quad \partial_r \doteq \{x \in \mathbb{X} : x \cdot e_1 = r\}.$$

For $Y \in \mathcal{A}(x)$ and $X \doteq x + B + GY$, set

$$\sigma \equiv \sigma_r \doteq \inf\{t : X_t \notin \mathbb{X}_r\}.$$

Let ϕ be any function in $C_+(\partial_r)$. Define

$$J_{r,\phi}(x, Y) \doteq \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{[0,\sigma]} e^{-\beta s} [\ell(X_s) ds + h \cdot dY_s] + e^{-\beta\sigma} \phi(X_\sigma)\right],$$

Let

$$V_{r,\phi}(x) \doteq \inf_{Y \in \mathcal{A}(x)} J_{r,\phi}(x, Y), \quad x \in \overline{\mathbb{X}}_r.$$

Sketch(Contd.).

Theorem 1. Let $u, v \in C_+(\overline{\mathbb{X}_r})$ be two constrained viscosity solutions of (1) on \mathbb{X}_r with the Dirichlet boundary condition ϕ on ∂_r . Then $u = v$.

- Comparison Principle: If u is a subsolution and v is a supersolution then $u \leq v$ (Maximum principle for $u - v$).
- A typical argument: If $F(x, r, p, A)$ is r -coercive then maximum principle holds.

(r -coercive: $F(x, r_1, p, A) \leq F(x, r_2, p, A)$ implies $r_1 \leq r_2$.)

Sketch(Contd.).

Eg. if u and v are C^2 , u subsoln., v supersoln. and $x \in \mathbb{X}^o$ is such that $u - v$ is maximized at x , then:

$$Du(x) = Dv(x) \text{ and } D^2u(x) \leq D^2v(x).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, u(x), Du(x), D^2u(x)) &\leq 0 \\ &\leq F(x, v(x), Dv(x), D^2v(x)) \\ &\leq F(x, v(x), Du(x), D^2u(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Coercivity gives $u(x) \leq v(x)$.

– Here coercivity of F fails... A careful construction of suitable strict subsolution is needed.

Sketch(Contd.).

Theorem 2. $V_{r,\phi}$ is a constrained viscosity solution of (1) on \mathbb{X}_r with the Dirichlet boundary condition ϕ on ∂_r .

Proof. DPP...

Theorem 3. Let $u \in C_+(\mathbb{X})$ be a constrained viscosity solution of (1) on \mathbb{X} . Then for $x \in \overline{\mathbb{X}}_r$

$$u(x) = \inf \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{[0,\sigma_r]} e^{-\beta s} [\ell(X_s) ds + h \cdot dY_s] + e^{-\beta \sigma_r} u(X_{\sigma_r}) \right]. \quad (V)$$

– **Let $r \rightarrow \infty$ in (V).**

General cost, \mathbb{X} Bounded.

– Generalized Brownian networks of Harrison and Williams.

Controlled Dynamics:

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dW_s + GY_t$$

– b, σ Lip. Control cone \mathbb{Y} and admissible controls as before.

Cost Criterion:

$$J(x, Y) = \mathbb{E} \int_{[0, \infty)} e^{-\beta s} (\ell(X_s) ds + h \cdot dY_s),$$

ℓ continuous , $\ell, h \cdot y$ may take negative values.

Goal: Characterize the value function $V(x) = \inf_{Y \in \mathcal{A}(x)} J(x, Y)$ in terms of the associated HJB equation.

Standing Assumptions.

- Controllability: $G\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{R}^d$.
- Control cone Geometry: $\exists e \in \mathbb{R}^p$ such that

$$\inf_{y \in \mathbb{Y}: |Gy|=1} e \cdot y > 0.$$

- Cost bounded from below:

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \sup_{Y \in \mathcal{A}(x)} \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta s} (h \cdot Y_s)^- ds < \infty. \quad (A1)$$

- Integration by parts holds: $\forall Y \in \mathcal{A}(x), x \in \mathbb{X},$

$$\beta \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta s} h \cdot Y_s ds = \int_{[0, \infty)} e^{-\beta s} d(h \cdot Y_s). \quad (A2)$$

Value function Properties.

Proposition. $V(x) \in (-\infty, \infty)$ for each $x \in \mathbb{X}$. V is Lipschitz on \mathbb{X} .

Sketch.

- $V(x) > -\infty$ follows from (A1).
- **Upper bound**, $x \in \mathbb{X}^o$: Let B be a small enough ball such that $x \in B \subset \mathbb{X}^o$. Let Control be the normal reflection on the boundary. Easy to check associated cost is finite.
- **Lipschitz property**: State can be moved from any $x_1 \in \mathbb{X}$ to any other $x_2 \in \mathbb{X}$, instantaneously, by exercising a control and paying a cost proportional to $|x_1 - x_2|$.

HJB equation.

Let $\mathcal{L}f = -\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(\sigma D^2 f \sigma') - b \cdot Df$

Recall $\mathcal{H}(q) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{Y}: |Gy|=1} \{-Gy \cdot q - h \cdot y\}$.

HJB equation:

$$\underbrace{((\mathcal{L} + \beta)\psi(x) - \ell(x)) \vee \mathcal{H}(D\psi(x))}_{F(x, \psi(x), D\psi(x), D^2\psi(x))} = 0 \quad (2)$$

- **Constrained Viscosity solution:** Subsolution on \mathbb{X}^o and supersolution on \mathbb{X} .
- **Assumption (A3):** $\inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}^p} \mathcal{H}(q) < 0$
- **Remark:** If assumption fails then every continuous function is a supersolution and if ψ is a subsolution then so is $\psi - c$ for $c > 0$.

Characterization.

Theorem. Under the standing assumptions:

- **Solvability:** V is a constrained viscosity solution of (2).
- **Uniqueness:** Assumption (A3) is necessary and sufficient for V to be only constrained viscosity solution in $C_b(\mathbb{X})$.

No Arbitrage Condition (Harrison and Williams):

$$\{y \in \mathbb{Y} : Gy = 0 \text{ and } h \cdot y \leq 0\} = \{0\}. \quad (A4)$$

Theorem. No arbitrage condition (A4) implies (A1), (A2), (A3).

Sketch of (A4) implies (A3).

Recall

$$\inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}^p} \mathcal{H}(q) = \inf_{q \in \mathbb{R}^p} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{Y}: |Gy|=1} \{-Gy \cdot q - h \cdot y\} < 0 \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$\{y \in \mathbb{Y} : Gy = 0 \text{ and } h \cdot y \leq 0\} = \{0\}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

- For $\tilde{h} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, let

$$\mathcal{E}(\tilde{h}) = \{y \in \mathbb{Y} : Gy = 0, \tilde{h} \cdot y \leq 0\}.$$

Note: $\mathcal{E}(\tilde{h}) \supset \{0\}$.

- Also: $\{h\} \subset \{\tilde{h} \in \mathbb{R}^p \mid \mathcal{E}(\tilde{h}) = \{0\}\} \doteq H$.
- **H is open.** Let ε be s.t. $\mathcal{E}(h^\varepsilon) = \{0\}$, $h^\varepsilon = h - \varepsilon e_1$.

Sketch (Contd.)

- V^ε be the value function with $h \mapsto h^\varepsilon$.
- $V^\varepsilon(x) \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and V^ε solves the HJB equation (for h^ε).
- Construct a C^2 function ϕ such that for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}^o$, $V^\varepsilon(x_0) - \phi(x_0)$ is maximized at x_0 .
- Using the subsolution property of V^ε one can show that $\mathcal{H}(q_0) < 0$ with $q_0 = D\phi(x_0)$.

Other Issues.

- Free boundary problem: Optimal process a reflected diffusion.
- Smoothness of the free boundary.
- Interior regularity of Value function. For Singular Control (without state constraints): Evans, Ishii and Koike, Shreve and Soner, Menaldi and Robin.
- Ergodic Control. (without state constraints: Karatzas, Taksar, Kurtz and Stockbridge, Robin and Menaldi).
- Numerical Methods.